To:

Date:

Re:

[Sorry for handwriting. My typewriter is temporarily out of whack.]

QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY MEMORANDUM

15 April 1971

Harold—

Thanks for copies of Frame-up reviews. I sent the SR review to you today, thinking that you had not yet seen it.

I'll use these when talking with booksellers, and may send copies to dealers in Toronto.

It's possible that all the suspicious things about the envelope containing the 399 photo are my doing. I remember holding a couple of envelopes for quite a long time before taking them to the post office. And occasionally I use rubber cement in closing mail that I have re-opened. Sometimes, too, things get through without postmarks.

I don't know when I can come down to Frederick. When I decide, I'll let you know at least a few weeks in advance — and will be in touch with Howard, too. If your place threatens to be too crowded, I may be able to stay with an old friend who lives in Gaithersberg, Md — not too far from Frederick.

To:

Date:

Re:

QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY MEMORANDUM

I disagree that Fisher or any of the Panel does know the significance of the fragments in the brain. They need not have given such exquisite detail — they could have said much without saying what was essential and meaningful. I agree that Fisher is responsible for much dirty work — even that he duped other members of the panel and played them for suckers, but in this matter I think he simply did not know. If he knew, the Panel Report would not say what it does.

---

I received from Sylvia your letters to the NY Post re Berkham's comments on Frame-up. I think you can look forward to others like this ~~add~~ when (if!) the biggies get round to reviewing. They just can't stand the truth.

---

Bye for now.

Still

Dick